

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/810,499	TSUDA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MATTHEW SAMS	2617	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) MATTHEW SAMS. (3) _____.

(2) Sanders Hillis (45,712). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 18 March 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 9.

Identification of prior art discussed: Not Applicable.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The applicant was concerned that because of a typographical error in the final office action (12/11/2008), a proper response was not given. Therefore, the finality of the previous office action is withdrawn and a new final office action will be mailed to the applicant.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/MATTHEW SAMS/
Examiner, Art Unit 2617

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)

Interview Summary

Paper No. 20090319